MTFP Risk Register

|  | Likelihood | Impact | Mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Future available <br> resources less <br> than assumed | Likely | High | Annual review of reserves. <br> Increased minimum General Fund <br> Working Balance to £2m <br> Volatility/Contingency earmarked <br> reserves in place. <br> Planning for future reductions above <br> those assumed in the MTFP would be <br> implemented. However the GFB can <br> support any medium term shortfall. |
| Commercial <br> Projects do not <br> deliver <br> anticipated <br> benefits | Possible | Medium | Project management and monitoring. <br> Risk Register for each project <br> Appropriate and robust due diligence. <br> Projects currently delivered or on <br> target. Contingency budget of <br> £0.200m and risk reserve. |
| Council is unable <br> to provide a <br> balanced budget <br> in future years. | Unlikely | Low | The Council has an adequate level of <br> General Fund Reserves to support <br> balancing the budget over the next 5 <br> years, should the need be required. |
| Volatility of <br> Business Rates | Likely | Medium | Volatility of funding stream outside of <br> Council control but impact mitigated <br> by establishment of contributions to an <br> earmarked reserves. |
| Pay and price <br> increases above <br> budgeted <br> assumptions | Possible | Medium | Assumption of 2\% pay increase <br> annually built into MTFP. Contractual <br> inflation included in budget. |
| Average utilities \% applied. Improved |  |  |  |
| commissioning and procurement |  |  |  |
| expected |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | spending within services. A principle <br> is in place to maintain General <br> Reserve at a minimum of 10\% of Net <br> Operating Expenditure, however <br> value set in 2019/20 £2m represents <br> circa 15\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income targets <br> not achieved. | Possible | Medium | Current economic climate likely to <br> impact. Regular monitoring and <br> reporting is undertaken with a full <br> review of fees and charges annually <br> which incorporates trend analysis and <br> future demand estimations. <br> Commercial trading monitor volumes <br> and pricing. <br> Appropriate due diligence for <br> commercial investments undertaken. |
| Revenue <br> implications of <br> capital <br> programmes not <br> fully anticipated | Possible | Low | Capital bid approval identifies <br> revenue implications and links to <br> Council priorities. Full analysis of <br> revenue implications assessed and <br> considered in scenario planning and <br> in business case development. |
| Loss of principal <br> investments | Possible | Medium | Limited by the controls in the <br> Treasury Management Strategy <br> which prioritise security of deposit <br> over returns on a risk based <br> approach. <br> Impact limited due to the strategy of a <br> diverse portfolio with top rated <br> institutions backed by Government <br> guarantees and risk reserves. |
| Review of NNDR <br> Retention <br> Scheme | Likely | Medium |  |
| New duties <br> imposed by <br> Government | Likely | Low |  |
| duties will be transferred to districts, |  |  |  |
| however this will be considered in line |  |  |  |
| with the retention of NNDR. |  |  |  |
| Proactive preparation will be |  |  |  |
| undertaken to engage early and |  |  |  |
| influence the outcome. The |  |  |  |
| availability of general reserves will |  |  |  |
| also help smooth any initial financial |  |  |  |
| impact, pending any reallocation of |  |  |  |
| resources. In the event of new |  |  |  |
| requirements being imposed 'new |  |  |  |
| burdens' funding would also be |  |  |  |
| sought. |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | that we will revert to a 2013/14 <br> baseline, therefore no growth since <br> this period will be awarded. There <br> will still be a need for government to <br> distribute resources through a Top <br> Up and Tariff system. MTFP <br> assumes reversion to baseline. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| The cultural <br> change and <br> capability <br> required to <br> deliver against <br> the Council's <br> aspiration may <br> not be realised <br> as quickly as the <br> financial cuts, as <br> changes in <br> business models <br> can take large <br> organisations a <br> number of years <br> to realise <br> regardless of the <br> sector. | Possible | Low | Programme Board will ensure that <br> the project management framework is <br> effective and that robust business <br> cases are developed prior to approval <br> of projects and that projects are <br> monitored, with issues being raised <br> and escalated at an early stage for <br> consideration. |
| The assumptions <br> contained within <br> the MTFP are not <br> realised. | Likely |  | Low |

